

with the Court regarding other matters following the September 10, 2008 Order (Docs. 31 and 34), he did not file a substantive response to Defendants' motion.

The Magistrate Judge recommends that this case be dismissed with prejudice in light of Plaintiff's failure to comply with the September 10, 2008 Order, failure to diligently prosecute this action, and failure to keep the Court advised of his current address. In the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept a Recommendation put forth by the Magistrate Judge. After having reviewed the record, the Court is satisfied that no clear error has occurred. Therefore, the Recommendation is accepted, and this action is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. Defendants' Motion to Compel or for an Order to Show Cause (Doc. 26) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

SO ORDERED, this the 1st day of July, 2009.

s/ Hugh Lawson
HUGH LAWSON, SENIOR JUDGE

mbh